

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/520,823	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam N. Nguyen	1754	

All Participants:

(1) Examiner Cam N. Nguyen.

(2) Mr. Sean L. Ingram.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 October 2006
Time: 3 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

13, 21, & 24

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor informalities in the claims discussed to place the application in a better condition for allowance. Examiner proposed the changes and attorney authorized. See Examiner's Amendment prepared.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



CAM N. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)